Dear Sir/Madam,

**Invitation to Tender for the Service Operation Vessel (SOV) Tender Benchmarking project for the Carbon Trust’s OWA Programme**

You are invited to submit a tender for the Service Operation Vessel (SOV) Tender Benchmarking project which is part of the Offshore Wind Accelerator (OWA) programme. The key objective of this project is to investigate the potential to lower cost and standardize the technical tender documentation for Service Operation Vessels working on offshore wind farms.

Please be aware that dates referred to below may be subject to change where this is necessary in the interests of the project (such changes will be notified in advance).

Should your tender be successful an Award Letter, the Scope of Work, the OWA Conditions of Contract ("Conditions"), and any clarifications agreed in writing, will establish the Contract for the Service Operation Vessel (SOV) Tender Benchmarking project (the "Contract") between you and the Carbon Trust. The Conditions accompany this ITT for your prior review. Please note that in the interests of transparency and fairness, these Conditions are non-negotiable, although we will provide clarifications to any queries you may have prior to submitting your tender, answers to which will be distributed to all bidders as set out below. Bids which fail to accept the Conditions in their full un-amended form (other than changes explicitly accepted and agreed by the Carbon Trust on the clarifications page) at the time of submission will be considered to be non-compliant and will be excluded from the procurement process.

Please e-mail clarification questions to sam.strivens@carbontrust.com any time before 11 August 2020. Answers to clarification questions will be posted on our website by 18 August 2020. Answers can be found at: [https://www.carbontrust.com/about-us/tenders/](https://www.carbontrust.com/about-us/tenders/)

For information about the OWA programme, please see the Carbon Trust’s web site: [www.carbontrust.com/offshorewind](http://www.carbontrust.com/offshorewind)

Unless informed to the contrary, tenders and communications should be sent by e-mail to the following e-mail address: sam.strivens@carbontrust.com

Please submit your tender by 01 September 2020 at 12:00 (BST).

The timeline of this procurement process is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for clarification questions</td>
<td>11 August 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarification Response Date</td>
<td>18 August 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission of full tender</td>
<td>01 September 2020 at 12:00 (BST)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidder interviews</td>
<td>W/C 21 September 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project kick off meeting</td>
<td>W/C 05 October 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If you have any questions about the timing, please let us know.

We look forward to receiving your tender.

Yours sincerely,

Sam Strivens
For and on behalf of
THE CARBON TRUST
IMPORTANT INFORMATION FOR BIDDERS

Neither this document, nor any part of it nor any other information supplied in connection with it may, except with the prior written consent of the Carbon Trust, be published, reproduced, copied, distributed or disclosed to any person for any purpose other than consideration by the recipient of whether or not to submit a Tender.

Bidders should note that the Scope of Work described in this Invitation to Tender does not constitute an offer to contract with the Carbon Trust. It only represents a definition of specific requirements and an invitation to submit a tender addressing these requirements. Issuance of this invitation to tender and the subsequent receipt and evaluation of the tenders by the Carbon Trust does not commit the Carbon Trust to enter into a Contract with any bidder.

Bidders should also note that:

- depending on the progress and/or results of the project referred to in this Invitation to Tender and the views of the Carbon Trust and/or the OWA programme as to whether additional analysis or more in-depth work in respect of any or all aspects relating to the project are desirable in order to achieve the objectives referred to in the Invitation to Tender, the Carbon Trust may request such additional analysis or work. Any additional analysis or work agreed between the parties shall form part of Scope of Work and the Services to be provided by the selected Contractor under the Contract;
- the Carbon Trust reserves the right not to accept the lowest priced tender or any tender whatsoever;
- the Carbon Trust reserves the right to accept more than one tender;
- unless a bidder makes a formal statement to the contrary, the Carbon Trust reserves the right to accept any part of a bidder's tender without accepting the remainder;
- formal notification that a tender has been successful will be communicated in writing by the Carbon Trust;
- the costs of tendering are the full responsibility of the bidder; and,
- the pricing set by bidders shall be valid for a minimum of 90 days.

The information contained here, in the Scope of Work and in any documents or information it refers to or incorporates (the “Disclosed Information”) has been prepared to assist interested parties in deciding whether to make a bid. The Disclosed Information is not a recommendation by the Carbon Trust. It does not purport to be all inclusive or include all the information that a bidder may require.

Neither the Carbon Trust nor any of its directors, employees, agents or advisers makes any representation or warranty (express or implied) as to the accuracy, reasonableness or completeness of the Disclosed Information. All such persons or entities expressly disclaim any and all liability (other than in respect of fraudulent misrepresentation) based on or relating to the Disclosed Information or any subsequent communication. The bidder should conduct its own due diligence and seek its own professional, legal, financial and other advice as appropriate. The only information which will have any legal effect and/or upon which any person may rely will be such information (if any) as has been specifically and expressly represented and/or warranted in writing to the successful bidder in any written contract that may be entered into with the Carbon Trust.

Tenders and all supporting documentation must be written in English. This ITT, the Contract, its formation, interpretation and performance will be subject to and in accordance with the law of England and Wales.
The Carbon Trust Offshore Wind Accelerator

Invitation to Tender for the “Service Operation Vessel (SOV) Tender Benchmarking” Project
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1. **Introduction to the Offshore Wind Accelerator**

1.1. The Offshore Wind Accelerator ("OWA") is a collaborative R&D programme between The Carbon Trust, SSE Renewables Developments (UK) Limited, Ørsted Wind Power A/S, RWE Renewables International GmbH, ScottishPower Renewables (UK) Limited, Equinor ASA, Vattenfall Vindkraft A/S, EnBW Energie Baden-Württemberg AG, Shell Global Solutions International B.V. and innogy SE, and any amendment thereof and/or any deed of adherence thereto (the latter 9 collectively referred to in this document as "OWA Partners"), that aims to reduce the cost of offshore wind as well as provide insights regarding industry standard (and best practice) health and safety requirements.

1.2. The focus is on improving the economics of offshore wind farms in European waters through developing innovative technologies that can be deployed in planned and operational European projects.

1.3. The Offshore Wind Accelerator currently covers five research areas:
   - Offshore Foundations
   - Yield & Performance
   - Logistics & O&M
   - Electrical Systems
   - Cables

1.4. Contractors receive technical direction and data from OWA Partners through the Carbon Trust management team and through their respective Technical Working Group ("TWG") (see Figure 1).

1.5. This project will fall under the Logistics and O&M research area.

1.6. Please note, the term "Contractor", where used within this document, refers only to successful bidders.

2. **Background and objective of the Work**

2.1. The OWA Logistics and O&M would like to investigate the potential to lower cost and standardize the technical tender documentation for Service Operation Vessels working on offshore wind farms.

2.2. As offshore wind farms increase in both capacity and distance from shore, operations and maintenance (O&M) strategies are seeing a shift from port-based Crew Transfer Vessels (CTVs) to field based SOVs utilizing both daughtercraft (DC) and direct gangway personnel transfers. For near-shore sites located up to 50km from shore one, one or multiple CTVs are generally the most suitable method of personnel transfer. Sites located further offshore for example Dogger Bank A, which is located over 130 km from a safe haven will preclude the use of CTVs. As such SOVs, which can remain in-field for an extended duration are the preferred option.

For CTV operations the tender process (and thus required documentation) is more established, the SOV process however is less established and arguably more complex. This results in a considerable cost and resource requirement for both vessel providers and vessel charters and difficulty in comparing various vessel options. Currently, potential charterers are relying on performance criteria such as the Environmental Regularity Number (ERN) score to quantify the station keeping ability of Dynamic
Positioning (DP) systems. The ERN score is however based on a static DP plot and only considers the vessel performance in isolation of the walk to work system, rather than the overall dynamic response. A dynamic plot would be more difficult to calculate, but would be more relevant given that it is calculated in the time rather than frequency domain. The operational conditions used for the ERN score calculation also differs to what is generally used by the offshore wind industry. In some instances, DP plots are being requested for specific met-ocean environments, such as Taiwan or the east coast of the United States of America.

A further challenge to assessing SOV performance during the contract tender phase is vessel emissions, especially when operation in DP mode. The wide range of met-ocean conditions and thus variable propulsion loads makes the estimation of fuel consumption and thus vessel emissions hard to quantify and subsequently verify, especially when comparing between multiple commercial offerings.

2.3. The main objectives of this work are to:
   i) Standardise the technical aspects of SOV tender documentation for both vessel providers and vessel charterers
   ii) Identify and standardise key benchmarks used for the tender documentation, for example:
      - Vessel emissions in various modes of operation, including when operating in DP mode (both when utilizing conventional diesel electric and/or hybrid propulsion systems)
      - Vessel performance in various modes of operation including personnel / cargo transfer, in-field loitering and transit
      - DP capability and how this is measured (and documented) in various conditions
      - Daughtercraft performance (and limitations), daughtercraft capacity and launch and recovery capability
   iii) Assess human factors such as exposure to motions (accelerations and motion sickness), noise, vibrations, sleep quality and other factors effecting fatigue.
   iv) Define a standardised tender documentation format including determining the level of detail required
   v) Recommend areas for future research, including current and potential technology concepts with the potential to measures these benchmarks

3. Pre-Conditions

3.1. Bidders should take the following pre-condition into account when preparing and submitting their tenders. The Carbon Trust may reject any non-compliant tenders without progressing such tenders through the evaluation phase. If the Carbon Trust, in its absolute discretion, considers that the bidder’s response to the following pre-condition is not satisfactory, the bidder’s tender will be non-compliant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Information required from Bidders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conflict of interests</td>
<td>Bidders are required to state that they are free of any commercial interests, partnership arrangements or contracts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
underway or other matters which may present a conflict or potential conflict of interest in respect of the provision of these services.

If a bidder thinks that they may have any conflict or potential conflict of interest, the bidder should describe the details of this conflict and provide details of whether and how it would propose to manage such a conflict in a satisfactory and robust manner.

The Carbon Trust reserves the right to require the provision of further information in relation to the bidder’s response to this pre-condition.

| Conditions of Contract and Scope of Work | The OWA Conditions of Contract and draft Scope of Work for this project are attached. The Contract will be constituted by the Award Letter, the OWA Conditions of Contract and the Scope of Work (including any agreed clarifications to it).

Failure to accept these documents in their un-amended form or requesting amendments to them means that a bidder’s tender is a non-compliant tender and it would therefore be at the discretion of the Carbon Trust to accept such a tender. Submission of a tender shall constitute unqualified acceptance of the OWA Conditions of Contract.

Bidders are required to submit a signed Form of Tender when submitting their tenders. The Form of Tender forms part of this Invitation to Tender. The failure by a bidder to submit a signed Form of Tender when submitting its tender shall mean that such tender is a non-compliant tender. Non-compliant tenders may be rejected without further consideration.

If any bidder wishes to request an amendment to any term or condition, such amendment must be clearly stated and the exact wording which the bidder is requesting must be set out. No material changes will be considered.

| Further Conditions | All documentation and correspondences must be in English with costs given in GBP (£). Staff employment rates must be quoted as hourly rates in GBP (£). All additional expenses must be included under Work Package B: Costs and Expenses.

Bidders are requested to input the man hours involved in the project for each work package in table 1, section 6.3. Any additional information (e.g. CVs or References) that Bidders wish to provide must be included in the main bid document (preferably in PDF) as an appendix.

4. **Scope of Work**

4.1. The Contract will be constituted by the Award Letter, the OWA Conditions of Contract and the Scope of Work (including any agreed clarifications to it). This final Scope of
Work document will reflect any updates, changes or improvements to the technical scope and Work Packages as suggested by the Contractor in its proposal.

4.2. Failure to accept these documents in their un-amended form or requesting amendments to them means that a bidder’s tender is a non-compliant tender and it would therefore be at the discretion of the Carbon Trust to accept such a tender. Submission of a tender shall constitute unqualified acceptance of the OWA Conditions of Contract.

4.3. If any bidder wishes to request an amendment to any term or condition, such amendment must be clearly stated and the exact wording which the bidder is requesting must be set out. No material changes will be considered.

4.4. The following section provides a summary of the key points relating to the technical content of this project and the proposed scope.

**Contractor Specification**

4.5. The Carbon Trust appreciates that due to the breadth of skills and experience required for this project a consortium may be required to successfully meet the objectives of the project. It is envisaged that it will take a small team of mixed seniority ~ 8 months to complete. Contractors should use this scope to create a detailed project plan and Gantt chart outlining how they will deliver this project on budget and within the allocated time. This will be agreed by the Technical Working Group & Carbon Trust before work commences. It is expected that simplifying assumptions will be required to complete this work in the given timeframe; all assumptions will need to be clearly stated and approved by the TWG.

**Detailed Scope**

4.6. The following Work Packages are the initial ideas on the key activities that the Contractor is expected to undertake during this contract. Contractors are encouraged to offer a different or expanded approach that fulfils the high-level objectives and deliverables. If a different approach is suggested, the Contractor is expected to explain / justify any intended deviation from the advertised work packages.

4.7. It is expected that the Contractor will report on interim deliverables (if applicable) to the Technical Working Group and that the final report will contain documentation of all deliverables.
## Work Packages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WORK PACKAGE</th>
<th>Description of work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **WP1. Tender process and technology review** | The contractor should review the technical aspects of current charter tender documentation. The contractor should focus specifically on what technical information is relevant to the process (the benchmarks) and in what manner this information is recorded or measured. It is expected that a gap-analysis be performed to identify what information is expected by SOV charters (such as the OWA partners) and what is currently considered or provided. This could include, but is not limited to:  
  i. Human factors including comfort (MSI) information, habitability (such as noise and vibration) and sleep quality  
  ii. Workflow presentation including step-free movement of palleted good from warehouse to transition piece  
  iii. Standard for numbering of documents (to allow simplified referencing)  

The contractor should also consider the current state of the art for performance measuring technology, including but not limited to:  
  i. Digital vessel monitoring and prediction systems including motion monitoring systems specific to SOV’s  
  ii. Emission monitoring systems (either embedded into the SOV’s OEM provided systems, third party EFMS, or other physical GHG monitoring sensors) to either physically measure emissions or calculated via recorded data  
  iii. Any other systems related to benchmarks identified during this work package  

A framework for how the vessel charterer can access this data should also be suggested |  

- **D01**: Report summarizing the tender process and technology review |

| WP2. Stakeholder engagement | The contractor should engage with multiple stakeholders throughout the project but especially with SOV operators, SOV/Daughtercraft designers, system manufactures (such as main propulsion, DP, gangway and EFMS) and charterers. This may be undertaken through:  
  i. Interviews  
  ii. Formal requests for information  
  iii. Questionnaires, including detailed surveys with technicians designed to capture technicians’ experience |
Although the OWA partners will provide input in regards to the specific charter requirements, it is expected that the contractor will have sufficient contacts to engage with wider industry in order to obtain the required information.

**D02: Record of stakeholder engagement**

It is expected that WP3 will form the main assessment of benchmarking criteria as identified in WP1 and WP2. The focus should be on key performance benchmarks identified, such as:

- DP capability and how this is measured in various dynamic conditions. This would likely expand on the current static ERN scoring system (or relevant standards such as ‘DNV-GL ST-0111 L2 Site’) and establish a methodology based on standardized dynamic time domain analysis that is cost effective and has clearly defined input and output requirements.

- The required input parameters should also be defined, as current ERN parameters are not aligned with operational expectations of the OWA partners. These parameters should include, but not be limited to:
  i. Wind speed
  ii. Significant wave height
  iii. Current velocity
  iv. Expected station deviation

- Vessel performance in various modes of operation including personnel / cargo transfer, in-field loitering and transit. It is expected the requirements for these modes will be established in previous work packages.

- Motion compensated gangway performance including the relevant criteria and standards such as: DNV-GL, ISO, IEC or EN regarding telescoping speed, acceleration, inclination and HSE standards.

- Daughtercraft (DC) performance, including resident daughtercraft capacity, capacity for additional (or larger) daughtercraft, launch and recovery capability (specifically windspeed / Hs limitations) and threshold between DC and W2W operations.

- Vessel emissions in various modes of operation including when operating in port, in transit, in DP mode and in-field loitering (standby). A current methodology clearly accurately measuring and assessing SOV emission in various modes of operation is not clearly defined. It is expected that calculation of vessel emission will be linked to the definition of both vessel performance and DP capability benchmarks (as outlined above) and that it is
possible to verify fuel consumptions/emissions during a sea trial.
- Other benchmarking criteria as identified in previous work packages.

- **D03:** Report summarizing the SOV criteria benchmarking assessment

**WP4. Conclusions and recommendations**

The key output of WP4 will be a standard SOV technical tender document template, outlining the required benchmarks and a methodology for each of these to be calculated, as defined in WP3 and based feedback from vessel operators, charterers and other stakeholders identified in WP2.

It is also expected that a number of recommendations are made regarding areas for future research and any technology development needs such as physical systems (and data transmission technologies/requirements) for monitoring or recording the identified tender benchmarks. These could include, but are not limited to:

i. Digital vessel monitoring systems specific to SOV’s,
ii. Emission monitoring systems and methodology to measure emissions via a combination of SOV’s existing OEM supplied equipment, third party EFMS, other physical GHG monitoring sensors, and/or via calculated data
iii. Any other systems related to benchmarks identified during this project

It is expected these recommendations would be complimentary to any technology concepts outlined during the technology review conducted during WP1. It is expected these recommendations would be complimentary to any technology concepts outlined during the technology review conducted during WP1.

- **D04:** Report summarizing the conclusions and recommendations

**WPA. Project Management**

The contractor should stipulate how they will manage the project efficiently and effectively. This should include specific costs for project management time, to include update calls with the Carbon Trust Project Manager and/or Technical Working Group as required.

This should also include production of a one-page executive summary for the whole project, for internal dissemination. This should include a short summary of areas for future research. Carbon Trust will provide the template for this. The budget should also accommodate production of a final presentation and time dedicated to
presenting this in the form of a short webinar to invitees from the developers of the OWA.

Finally, if appropriate, resource should also be allocated to provide inputs into the ‘OWA Cost Model’. The contractor is not expected to produce a cost model of its own, but rather provide an estimate, with appropriate explanation, for potential cost implications of the research. The Carbon Trust will provide a template to assist the Contractor in this process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Deliverables:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- D05: Monthly flash reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- D06: Project executive summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- D07: Delivery of webinar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- D08: OWA Cost Model inputs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WPB. Expenses**

The contractor should detail the capped amount of expenses it expects to incur throughout the project. Expenses will be paid as incurred and any unused balance will not be paid.
5. **Intellectual Property and Knowledge**

5.1. All rights in and relating to pre-existing intellectual property and knowhow contributed by the Contractor, third parties or OWA Partners shall remain the exclusive property of the contributing party.

5.2. In the event that bidders plan to use or rely on pre-existing intellectual property knowhow for the project, the Carbon Trust’s expectation is that a premium will not be charged for leveraging this IP or knowhow.

5.3. Results of this project will be owned by the Carbon Trust for the benefit of the OWA Partners and OWA programme.

5.4. Full details of the intellectual property requirements and conditions can be found in the attached draft Contractor’s Conditions.

6. **Bid Pricing**

6.1. To provide bidders with greater clarity on the nature, level and type of work involved in the various Work Packages (WPs), the expected total budget is £50,000. The Contract Price submitted with the tender must be derived from the cost breakdown table requested in Table 1, and must include the costs for optional work packages as well as all expenses. Suggestions (within budget) are welcomed. If the Contract Price exceeds the budget (including where the bid includes alternative suggestions), to avoid receiving a lower score for this criterion, please provide a clear and justified reason why the Contract Price exceeds the expected budget.

6.2. For the avoidance of doubt, ‘suggestions’ referred to in preceding paragraph means ‘additional areas of work or alternative or substitute activities to those described in Annex A, that would further support the objective of the work’ (see description of criterion 1).

6.3. The Contractor is required to fill in the following staff rate and project cost breakdown table as part of their tender. The project is expected to take approximately 8 months.

Table 1: Staff rates and project cost breakdown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff member</th>
<th>Time spent per work package (WP) <strong>in hours</strong></th>
<th>Total time <strong>in hours</strong></th>
<th>Staff rate (£)</th>
<th>Staff cost to project (£)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WP 1</td>
<td>WP2</td>
<td>WP3</td>
<td>WP4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Sponsor</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Consultant</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyst</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etc.</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Time In hours</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost of each WP</td>
<td>£</td>
<td>£</td>
<td>£</td>
<td>£</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As detailed in section 4, the work packages are as follows:
WP1: Tender process and technology review
WP2: Stakeholder engagement
WP3: SOV criteria benchmarking assessment
WP4: Conclusions and recommendations
WPA: Project management
WPB: Costs and Expenses

6.4. All rates quoted in Table 1 must be in GBP (£) and represent the **Hourly Rate** for employment of staff members.

6.5. Bidders should be aware that the Carbon Trust and TWG usually require 2-3 weeks for the review and feedback procedure after delivery of each WP with at least one round of review comments to be accommodated. This should be taken into account when the table is completed.

7. **Tender Evaluation Criteria**

Bidders should take the following evaluation criteria into account when preparing and submitting their tenders. Tender documents should be no more than 20 pages excluding CVs.

**Criterion 1: Approach to Work (Weighting: 30%)**

Bidders are required to provide the evidence of the approach to work within the main body of the tender (not in a separate document).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Information required from bidders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Approach</td>
<td>Bidders are required to provide a detailed description on how they plan to develop each work package described in Section 4. The description should include an initial overview on the approach followed by a description on how each Work Package and task will be delivered. Also, bidders need to justify how their proposed approach meets the project objectives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestions</td>
<td>Suggestions of additional areas of work to those described in Section 4 of the ITT that the bidder proposes looking at as part of this study in order to achieve the required objectives, maintain an industry focus and provide valuable insights into the potential for reducing costs and risks for Round 3 offshore wind projects. Bidders are required to differentiate which are their additional areas of work from the proposed approach. Besides, bidders should specify if the proposed additions affect to the total price and quote them separately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project management</td>
<td>Bidders are required to describe how they will manage the project utilising appropriate resources and describe how they will work with the various stakeholders, such as the OWA TWG, to get information and manage potentially conflicting relationships. It is not expected that the Contractor will have to run any workshops with stakeholders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Criterion 2: Experience (Weighting: 30%)
Bidders are required to provide the experience evidence as an appendix, at the end of the bid document (not in a separate document)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description (Projects of a similar nature)</th>
<th>Information required from Bidders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experience in SOV performance monitoring or modelling</td>
<td>Bidders should elaborate on experience of the criteria described. Explain how these past experiences are relevant for this tender.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience in the production of SOV tender documentation</td>
<td>In addition, the bidder should provide at least two examples (with reference to specific roles, responsibilities and activities the bidder undertook) of previous work which illustrates the bidder’s skills, capabilities, and experience in all of these areas (bidders may wish to make reference to submitted examples of previous work for other clients)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience and knowledge of SOV performance and related subject matter</td>
<td>Bidders are advised that experience is considered a key important criterion and partnerships with other companies to support certain areas of experience are welcomed. All experience / case studies should be attached as an appendix to the proposal, but a summary of each case should be listed in the proposal main text.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Criterion 3: Staff Skills (Weighting: 15%)
Bidders are required to provide the staff skills evidence as an appendix, at the end of the bid document (not in a separate document)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Information required from bidders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVs/Resumes</td>
<td>Detailed CVs/Resumes for any staff who will be involved with this Contract together with proposed project structure, intended position of staff in the project, and main responsibilities. CVs should include professional memberships of proposed staff working on this project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable skills</td>
<td>Bidders should elaborate on the most relevant skills of the selected staff that will be applicable in the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior experience form involved staff</td>
<td>Please include examples of similar work performed by the proposed staff members, explaining how is relevant to the work described in Section 4.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A close working relationship with key stakeholders such as banks’ engineers, LiDAR OEMs, offshore wind farm developers, wind turbine OEMs, as well as the OWA Technical Working Group are seen relevant to the success of this project. Please supply ideas of how these groups can be engaged and leveraged.

**Criteria 4: Price (Weighting: 25%)**
In the event that tenderers plan to use or rely on pre-existing intellectual property or knowhow for the project (e.g. existing O&M modelling tools), the Carbon Trust’s expectation is that a premium will not be charged for leveraging this intellectual property or knowhow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Information required from bidders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day rates and man-h for all staff grades</td>
<td>Bidders are required to provide day rates for all staff grades and to input the man-h involved in each work package described in Section 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed price for the project</td>
<td>Project cost breakdown by work package, time and rate of person completing the work as specified in Section 6.3. Bidders are required to specify expected expenses apart from the estimated budget for each work package. Carbon Trust will reimburse reasonable expenses at cost and receipts may be requested. Pre-approval will be required for travel costs over £150 per return journey and combined hotels &amp; subsistence cost exceeding £200 per day. Bidders will be required to confirm or comment on their ability to carry out the activities detailed in the Scope of Work within the initial term of the Contract and provide an outline plan of work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>